

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/653,613	08/31/2000	Alan Lasneski	004589.P003	4147
7:	590 01/27/2003			
Donna Jo Coningsby		EXAMINER		
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP			SHAPIRO, LEONID	
7th Floor 12400 Wilshire	Daulayard			
Los Angeles, C			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2673	
·		,	DATE MAILED: 01/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action

09/653,613	LASNESKI, ALAN
Examiner	Art Unit
eonid Shapiro	2673

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this applicant.

	nal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application on dition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continuation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	=. n nued
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.  See MPER  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.	<b>5</b>
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension we been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set or patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	0 115dor
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying issues for appeal; and/or	ng the
	(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE. <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	
l	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendrocanceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ment
	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place application in condition for allowance because:	
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed:	
	Claim(s) objected to:	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-34</u> .	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	
9	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10	Other:	ļ

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 2. NOTE: : Applicant's arguments filed on 01-10-03 have been fully considered but they are not are not persuasive.

Applicant in remarks on page 6 stated, that Manning does not disclose discarding frames. However, Manning stated following:

"Frames in a still image do not need to be displayed as frequently as frames in a moving image. Thus, in order to conserve bandwidth, the frames in a still image are transmitted to the video coder-decoder 240 and are encoded by the video coder-decoder 240 may be reduced." (See Col. 6., Lines 1-11).

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600